https://doi.org/10.23873/2074-0506-2020-12-2-112-125

The impact of herpes simplex virus on the cornea engraftment S.A. Borzenok^{1,2}, T.Z. Kerimov¹*, N.A. Gavrilova¹, Yu.Yu. Kalinnikov¹, M.Kh. Khubetsova², A.A. Zheltonozhko²

 ¹A.I. Yevdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry, 1 Bldg. 20 Delegatskaya St., Moscow127473 Russia;
 ²S.N. Fedorov Eye Microsurgery Federal State Institution, 59a Beskudnikovsky Blvd., Moscow 127486 Russia

*Correspondence to: Timur Z. Kerimov, Postgraduate of the Eye Disease Department, A.I. Yevdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry, e-mail: timkerimov2014@yandex.ru

Conflict of interestsAuthors declare no conflict of interestFinancingThe study was performed without external funding

Borzenok SA, Kerimov TZ, Gavrilova NA, Kalinnikov YuYu, Khubetsova MKh, Zheltonozhko AA. The impact of herpes simplex virus on the cornea engraftment. *Transplantologiya. The Russian Journal of Transplantation.* 2020;12(2):112–125. (In Russ.). https:// doi.org/10.23873/ 2074-0506-2020-12-2-112-125

According to the recent WHO data, 39 million people in the world are blind. In developing countries cornea diseases are the second most common cause of blindness. Cornea transplantation remains the only radical method to regain lost vision for many blind people around the world. However, according to literature reports, cadaveric donor corneas pose a potential risk of herpes virus transmission to the recipient during penetrating keratoplasty. It is known that herpes simplex virus-1 persisting in the donor cornea can adversely affect graft survival up to causing the graft failure reaction. The latent herpes simplex virus may be reactivated by a number of factors, most of them occurring with penetrating keratoplasty. One of these factors is immunosuppressive therapy, an essential element of the pharmacological graft protection. Antiviral agents are strongly recommended in order to inhibit the replicating herpes simplex virus in the cornea graft. The most common antiviral agents are interferons with their inducers and acyclic nucleosides. Viral decontamination during cornea storage would prevent the donor-to-recipient transmission of herpes simplex virus in relation to keratoplasty.

Keywords: herpes simplex virus, herpes simplex keratitis, keratoplasty, cornea preservation, viral decontamination

DNA, deoxyribonucleic acid HSV, herpes simplex virus IFN, interferon PCR, polymerase chain reaction

Introduction

According to the World Health Organization, there are 39 million blind people in the world [1]. Bilateral corneal opacification is the fourth most common cause of vision loss (5.1%) after cataract (47.8%), glaucoma (12.3%) and age-related macular retinal degeneration (8.7%) [2]. According to meta-analysis (2017), 3.4% of blind people in Eastern Europe lost their vision due to bilateral clouding of the cornea [3]. In 23 million people, corneal lesions resulted in unilateral blindness [4]. In developing countries, corneal diseases are the second most prevalent cause of blindness [5], as well as the leading cause of visual impairment [6]. For many blind people around the world, corneal transplantation remains the only way to regain lost vision. It is estimated that about 50% of reported cases of corneal blindness are curable [7]. Characterization and epidemiological features of herpes viruses

According to Russian authors, the leading infectious cause of corneal damage in Russian population is herpes viruses, which are also the main cause of corneal blindness: herpetic keratitis accounts for more than 66% of all corneal pathology and 60% of corneal blindness [8, 9]. Up to 95% of the world's population is infected with herpes viruses [10]. At present, there are 8 types of herpes viruses that are pathognomonic for humans and form a family of human herpes viruses. According to the international classification [11], there are 3 subfamilies of human herpes viruses: alpha, beta and gamma herpes viruses. The alpha subfamily of herpes viruses includes herpes simplex virus (HSV) type 1 (HSV-1), HSV type 2 (HSV-2), chickenpox virus; the beta subfamily of herpes viruses includes human cytomegalovirus, human herpes viruses type 6 (6A and 6B), human herpes virus type 7; the gamma-herpes virus subfamily includes Epstein-Barr virus, as well as the Kaposi's sarcomaassociated human herpes virus type 8. Among the listed human herpes viruses, HSV-1 demands a special attention, since it is most often found in corneal cells [12].

The simplex discovered herpes virus was by German ophthalmologist Wilhelm Grüter in 1912 [13]. HSV-1 is a doublestranded linear deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) contained in the virus core and surrounded by a capsid and supercapsid, the space between them contains a tegument [14; 15]. Supercapsid is the outer shell represented by a bilipid layer that contains viral glycoproteins protruding outward. The tegument is located between the capsid and supercapsid and is an amorphous protein layer, occupying about 2/3 of the space inside the virion. Capsid is the inner shell of the icosahedral shape with a diameter of 125 nm. Each capsid consists of 161 capsomeres, each being a

structural protein subunit. Capsomeres are subdivided into 150 hexons, which form the edges and faces of the icosahedron, and 11 pentons located at all vertices, except for one, which carries a cylindrical portal protein complex, through which the viral DNA enters or exits the capsid [16]. The most common way of infection transmission is a contact transmission for HSV-1, and sexual intercourse for HSV-2. The entrance gates are the mucous membranes and the skin. After invading the cell, the herpes simplex virus begins to replicate actively, leading to a change in the cell metabolism and to the destruction of the cell membrane, which results in the cell death, and many copies of the virus go outside. Then, nucleocapsids penetrate into neighbouring cells, regional lymph nodes, and circulation; after that, the virus disseminates into organs and tissues, forming the foci of necrosis and inflammatory reactions in them, creating favourable conditions for secondary infections to occur [17].

Herpes simplex virus as a cause of graft rejection

Herpes simplex virus type 1 may cause diseases of all the main parts of the eye: eyelids, conjunctiva, cornea, choroid, and retina. HSV damage to the cornea causes various forms of keratitis: from epithelial to deep stromal, and necrotic one [18]. Herpetic keratitis in patients is characterized by a corneal syndrome with abnormal sensitivity of their cornea and the presence of vesicles in it, prone to a fusion in the form of a tree branch [19]. Currently, the main treatment of herpetic keratitis is a conservative therapy, and in case of its inefficacy, and in case of a walleye formation or persistent corneal opacity, a surgical treatment of this pathology is possible.

Currently, in order to cope with the corneal pathology sequelae, various keratoplasty options are used all over the world [20], and corneal grafting is the most common type of transplantation [21]. From the first attempts of keratoplasty operations, there was the search for the factors capable to affect the graft adherence. So, as early as in 1905, after the first successful corneal transplantation from human to human, Dr. Eduard Zirm noted that the engraftment results were strongly influenced by strict following the aseptic rules, minimizing the number of rough mechanical touches to the graft, and the suturing technique [22]. In the well-known book published in 1935 by the Russian ophthalmologist Vladimir P.Filatov, who granted the world a possibility of transplanting preserved cadaveric donor corneas, a considerable attention was paid to the infection control of donors [23]. These works formed the basis of modern transplantology. The development of infection control contributed to determining the role of latent infections in general transplantation [24]. Currently, it is known that the main infectious agent that affects the cornea is HSV-1 [25]. Arkady A.Kasparov, who devoted many of his works to the study of ophthalmic herpes, considered the cornea "a favourite site of HSV location" [15]. Numerous studies have discovered the potential of HSV to exist in the cornea in a latent form [26–28]. These studies defined the HSV latency as its ability to stay for a long time within a host cell without replication or assembling virions. In 1991 [29], a group of scientists led by S.D.Cook paid attention to the intact ability of latent HSV to reactivate, replicate, and activate the immune system even in the absence of a ganglion reactivation. Later it turned out that a high viral load on the cornea by the herpes virus group is able to exert its negative effect on transplantation results. So, in 1994, G.Cleator et al. [30] published the first report on the risks of the transplant rejection reaction development related to HSV transmission through donor material. In 1995, after having evaluated the pathologically altered recipient corneas obtained during transplantation, J.Garweg et al. [31] found that 5 of 6 recipients, in whose corneal tissue culture the HSV was

revealed, developed an episode of herpetic keratitis reactivation in the postoperative period.

A rapidly growing interest in this particular problem in those years prompted scientists to conduct large-scale statistical studies aimed at more accurately investigating the role of HSV in cornea transplantation. So, in 1997, L.Remeijer et al. [32] after a retrospective assessment of 2398 penetrating keratoplasties concluded that postoperative herpetic keratitis may develop even in the patients without a previous history of herpetic diseases. Scientists estimated that herpetic keratitis developed 14.2 times more often after penetrating keratoplasty compared to its incidence rate in the general population. Penetrating keratoplasty is a stimulating factor for HSV reactivation. Meantime, the investigators emphasize that they evaluated only the epithelial form of herpetic keratitis, while there are other manifestations of herpetic infection in the cornea. In the same year, G.C.Cockerham et al. [33] assessed possible causes of three cases of primary graft failure after penetrating keratoplasty. As a result of the study using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), the HSV DNA was detected in two of the three grafts evaluated. According to the study authors, the data obtained were consistent with the observations of G.Cleator [30] and suggested that HSV can cause an early graft failure reaction. In 1998, the group of investigators headed by L.M.Holbach [34] described a case of corneal graft rejection due to viral damage to the endothelium in a patient with a history of severe herpetic recurrent keratitis. The pathologically altered cornea obtained during rekeratoplasty was studied. At a histological examination, numerous inclusion bodies were found in endothelial cells, while HSV-1 virions and antibodies to this virus were detected in stromal keratocytes. The authors suggested that active HSV infection in the corneal graft endothelium results in a graft failure in patients with recurrent herpetic keratitis. In

1999, M.V.Neufeld et al. [35] described a case of herpetic keratitis development in a corneal-scleral disk stored in a donor eye bank at 4° C in Optisol GS preservation medium. A PCR assay of this cornea and culture study using the Vero cell line revealed the presence of HSV-1. According to a group of scientists, this case clearly demonstrates that HSV remains viable even when preserved at 4° C. The pathological effect of the HSV persistence in the cornea on the graft adherence was reported by S.Biswas et al. (2000) [36] who investigated the causes of the graft rejection reaction developed after penetrating keratoplasty in one of the recipients, as well as the appearance of a persistent epithelial defect after corneal transplantation in another recipient. According to laboratory test results (diagnostic PCR, immunohistochemical assay), HSV was found in corneal grafts of both recipients. The authors claim that HSV can cause endothelial destruction during organ cultivation, as well as the rejection reaction and ulcerative keratitis in the postoperative period. By the beginning of the XXI century, scientists had already characterized HSV as an infectious agent that could persist in a latent form in the corneal graft and reactivate in the postoperative period, leading to the graft rejection. At the next stage, the investigators set themselves the task of tracking the HSV transmission through the corneal graft to determine the strains being present in the donor material and the recipient tissues at preoperative stage and in the postoperative period. In this regard, in 2001, Lancet published data from a group of scientists headed by L.Remeijer [37] who were able to document the HSV transmission from a donor to a recipient through a corneal graft, followed by reactivation of the virus. The study was made by means of genotyping the HSV strains of the donor and the recipient using the PCR method based on analysing the differences in the DNA structure. A match of the DNA sequences of the studied strains was found. Scientists focus on the fact that the HSV

transmission to a seronegative recipient poses considerable risks to vision, especially in conditions of immunosuppressive therapy. In the same year, R.DeKesel et al. [38] studied the causes of four cases of early graft failure after penetrating keratoplasty. HSV was detected by PCR in three of the four removed failed grafts. Scientists believe that this study clearly demonstrates the HSV ability to cause early graft failure after penetrating keratoplasty. More and more reports were published further, which also characterized HSV as an infectious agent that can be transmitted from donor to recipient in penetrating keratoplasty and/or lead to the graft rejection [39–50].

Biological effects of herpes virus infection

In order to develop new methods for the prevention of HSV transmission, fundamental studies have been actively conducted in recent years to study the mechanisms of HSV attachment to cells [51]. Five viral glycoproteins: gB, gC, gD, gH, gL are known to be involved in the process of HSV invading the cell [52]. Initial attachment to, or binding, the cells is mediated by the interaction of gC and/or gB with heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs). F-actin-rich membrane protrusions termed filopodia facilitate the attachment, forming the sites rich in HSPG for initial fixation. After the virus has attached, the process of its penetration into a cell begins, having two possible pathways for the development, depending on the type of cells. The first pathway consists of the fusion of the viral membrane with the plasmatic membrane of the cell, while the second pathway may be activated if it is necessary to merge with an intracellular vesicle. In any case, the membrane fusion requires an obligatory presence of glycoproteins gB, gD, gH, gL. The absence of gC does not stop the attachment process, but reduces the overall binding ability of the virus. Like the attachment process, the

membrane fusion process requires the involvement of cellular receptors. The current widespread model of the membrane fusion suggests that the gD binding to one of its corresponding receptors induces conformational changes in gD and involves the active multi-glycoprotein fusion complex in the process, including gB, gD, gH and gL. The fusion of the viral envelope with the cell membrane leads to the release of viral nucleocapsid proteins and tegument into the host cytoplasm, and their binding to the microtubule-dependent cytoskeletal "engine", dynein. While most of the viral tegument released into the cell is necessary to activate the expression of viral genes and stop the synthesis of the host cell proteins, the remainder is responsible for the dynein-vehicle transfer of nucleocapsids along the microtubules directly to the cell nucleus to release the viral DNA into it. Subsequently, the transcription, replication of the viral DNA, and the assembly of capsids take place inside the host cell nucleus.

In turn, the cells have the biological ability to effectively resist the viral invasion, limiting the replication and spread of viruses [53]. The fundamental mechanism of cell protection from viruses is a cell death, which allows the elimination of HSV-infected cells before the assembly of new virions. One of the best-studied forms of a programmed cell death is apoptosis. Apoptosis is known to be necessary for the development and maintenance of tissue homeostasis in multicellular organisms. An apoptotic cell exhibits characteristic morphological features, including vacuolization (blebbing) of the cell membrane, chromatin condensation, fragmentation of intracellular DNA, and the formation of apoptotic bodies [54; 55]. Apoptosis is performed by a specific family of cysteine proteases known as caspases [56]. Necroptosis is an alternative form of controlled cell death, which is activated in case of insufficient caspase activity [57]. Morphologically, this type of cell death is characterized by a

rupture of the cell membrane and edema of organelles. Necroptosis is believed to be initiated by binding the receptor-interacting kinase 3 protein (RIP3 or RIPK3) to the MLKL pseudokinase, which in turn, leads to the destruction of the cell wall. Interferons (IFNs) represent one of the biological intracellular substances that can activate cell apoptosis and necroptosis, leading to the HSV elimination [58–60].

Interferons are the group of proteins that have antiviral effects and are synthesized by the cell in case of a viral invasion or in contact with other non-viral and synthetic substances, as well as bacterial endotoxins [15]. IFN was first described by scientists Alik Isaacs and Jean Lindemann in 1957 [61]. At present, three types of IFN are distinguished, depending on the type of receptor that they rely on for the signal transduction: the IFN-1 type includes IFN- α (12-14 subtypes), IFN- β , IFN- ω , IFN- κ , IFN- ε ; the IFN-2 type is represented by IFN- γ ; type IFN-3 includes IFN- λ 1, IFN- λ 2, IFN- λ 3. Receptors for the IFN-1 type are present in all body tissues; however, the amount of synthesis varies depending on the type of cells. IFN-2 is produced by antigen-activated T cells, NK cells, and macrophages. IFN-3 is expressed only in individual epithelial cells and binds to a separate receptor formed by the ligandbinding subunit and the signal transducing subunit [62]. The mechanism of the IFN action is not completely studied. It is believed that the IFN expression occurs in response to viral invasion. The synthesized IFNs-1 (IFN- α and IFN- β) spread to neighbouring cells, interacting with located on their membrane specific IFNAR receptors (interferon- α/β receptor), consisting of IFNAR1 and IFNAR2 subunits and bound to tyrosine kinase 2 (TYK2) and JAK kinases. The TYK2 and JAK kinases phosphorylate the tyrosine residues in the IFNAR cytoplasmic domains, creating the attachment sites for the signal transducer family proteins and the activator of transcription (STAT) for further attachment of JAKs to them for

The phosphorylated STATs phosphorylation. (pSTATs) form homodimers or heterodimers and translocate into the nucleus. The pSTAT1 homodimer binds to the gamma-activated sequence (GAS) located in the promoter region of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) and initiates the transcription of these target genes, while the homodimer formed by pSTAT3 activates the transcription of genes containing the STAT3 enhancer sequence. Phosphorylated STAT1 and STAT2 form a heterodimer, which leads to the involvement of the IFN-9 regulatory factor (IRF9) and the formation of the IFN-stimulated gene 3 (ISGF3) complex, being the activator of transcription. Then this complex is transferred to the nucleus and binds to IFN-stimulated response elements (ISRE) in the promoter region of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) to initiate the transcription of the genes that are essential for creating the antiviral effect of IFN-1 [63; 64].

Antiviral effects of interferon

Type I interferon induces the synthesis of many proteins that restrict the virus replication and stop its spread from cell to cell: 1. IFN-1 induces the 2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS) enzyme that, in turn, activates the latent nuclease RNaseL, which mediates the degradation of viral DNA; 2. Upon the IFN-1 activation of RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR), the viral RNA translation is blocked; 3. The IFN-1 induction of GTPase enzymes contributes to the viral nucleocapsid localization;

4. The activation of IFN-stimulated gene 15 (ISG15) and TRIM proteins inhibits the release of viral particles; 5. IFN-1-induced APOBEC3 proteins cause the viral DNA hypermutation; 6. To limit the site of infection, IFN-1 is also able to activate the apoptosis mechanism to eliminate virus-infected cells by controlling the Fas (FasL), PDL-1 and

TRAIL ligands; 7. IFN is known for its ability to induce the synthesis of antiviral Myxovirus resistance protein A (MxA), which inhibits the early phases of virus replication [65–67].

In addition to activating the cell antiviral system, IFN-1 is also capable to localize the viral infection by regulating the innate immunity systems. IFN-1 directly activates NK cells to enhance their cytotoxicity, which helps to eliminate infected cells and localize infection. However, the complete elimination of intracellular infection requires the activation of the acquired immune response system, and IFN-1 plays a key role in this process. IFN-1 promotes the maturation of dendritic cells (DCs) that are involved in the differentiation of CD4⁺ T cells into Th1 or Th2 cells [68-70]. Studies have shown that IFN-1 of experimental antigenpresenting cells is able to cross-present and stimulate native CD8⁺ T cells, leading to their clonal accumulation and proliferation. IFN-1 in experimental dendritic cells increased the expression of chemokines that attracted NK, T, and B cells to the infection site, as well as IL-15 that was important for maintaining cellular memory of NK and CD8⁺. These intracellular and extracellular effects of IFN-1 prepare the immune system for an effective cellular antiviral response.

Pharmacological protection of corneal graft

In addition to IFN, numerous nucleoside analogues are actively used as therapeutic agents for herpetic lesions of the cornea. Among the drugs of this pharmacological group, acyclovir has been the most widespread in clinical practice [71]. Acyclovir is a synthetic analogue of acyclic purine nucleoside, which, when entering the infected cells, is phosphorylated to acyclovir triphosphate and builds into the chain of viral DNA, blocking its synthesis by competitive inhibition of viral DNA polymerase. In the course of laboratory studies, IFN and acyclovir

demonstrated an identical antiherpetic activity [72]. A widespread use of acyclovir in the late XX, early XXI centuries led to the emergence of acyclovir-resistant HSV strains capable of causing severe forms of herpetic keratitis [73, 74]. To date, it is known that the combination of nucleoside analogues with the drugs of the IFN pharmacological group and IFN inducers has a synergistic effect [75]. According to the metaanalysis, the combination of IFN with anomalous nucleosides, can significantly improve the therapeutic effect compared to the therapy with anomalous nucleosides alone [76], and, according to the Cochrane Library database [77], also accelerates the healing process. It was also found that the combined use of IFN- α , - β and - γ also had a synergistic effect, providing 1000 times more effective antiherpetic effect on cells than the use of IFN- α alone [78].

An integral part of the pharmacological protection of the corneal graft in the postoperative period is the use of immunosuppressive therapy with glucocorticosteroids [79]. Herpes virus is considered an opportunistic infection that is activated in conditions of compromised immunity [17]. There are reports showing that glucocorticosteroid therapy can induce the activation of even latent HSV [39, 44] and lead to the graft rejection if used during active herpetic infection of the cornea [49].

The above listed antiviral drugs are used at various stages of the treatment for herpetic lesions of the cornea. Viral decontamination of donor corneas at preservation stage can be used to prevent the HSV transmission during corneal transplantation [80, 81]. The IFN inducer-containing preparation developed according to this technology as designated for the preservation of donor corneas demonstrated an antiviral (antiherpetic) effect in a pilot trial.

Conclusion

Herpes viruses constitute the leading infectious cause of corneal damage in the Russian Federation population. The only radical treatment of herpes-originated corneal opacification is penetrating keratoplasty. Numerous studies have demonstrated that after corneal transplantation, there is a risk of the graft rejection that may be caused by the herpes simplex virus, being in a latent form in the donor material. The transition of the herpes simplex virus to its active form in this case is facilitated by a postoperative immunosuppressive therapy, as well as surgical trauma and many other factors. Currently, the search for new ways to fight the herpes simplex virus at various stages of treatment is underway. Undertaking the viral decontamination of donor corneas at preservation stage would prevent the donor-to-recipient transmission of herpes simplex virus during corneal transplantation.

References

1. Pascolini D, Mariotti SP. Global estimates of visual impairment: 2010. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2012;96(5):614–618. PMID: 22133988 http://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2011-300539

2. Resnikoff S, Pascolini D, Etya'ale D, Kocur I, Pararajasegaram R, Pokharel GP, et al. Global data on visual impairment in the year 2002. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2004;82(11):844–851. PMID: 15640920 http://doi.org/S0042-96862004001100009

3. Flaxman SR, Bourne RRA, Resnikoff S, Ackland P, Braithwaite T, Cicinelli MV, et al. Global causes of blindness and distance vision impairment 1990–2020: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2017;5(12):e1221–e1234. PMID: 29032195 http://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30393-5

4. Oliva MS, Schottman T, Gulati M. Turnin-g the tide of corneal blindness. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* 2012;60(5):423–7. PMID: 22944753 http://doi.org/10.4103/0301-4738.100540

5. Wilson SL, El Haj AJ, Yang Y. Control of scar tissue formation in the cornea: strategies in clinical and corneal tissue engineering. *J Funct Biomater*. 2012;3(3):642–687. PMID: 24955637 http://doi.org/10.3390/jfb3030642.

6. Wong KH, Kam KW, Chen LJ, Young AL. Corneal blindness and current major treatment concern-graft scarcity. *Int J Ophthalmol.* 2017;10(7):1154–1162. PMID: 28730122 http://doi.org/10.18240/ijo.2017.07.21

7. Gupta N, Tandon R, Gupta SK, Sreenivas V, Vashist P. Burden of corneal blindness in India. *Indian J Community Med.* 2013;38(4):198–206. PMID: 24302819 http://doi.org/10.4103/0970-0218.120153

8. Maychuk YuF. Pharmacotherapy optimization for inflammatory diseases of the eye surface. *Russian ophthalmological journal*. 2008;(3):18–25. (In Russ.).

9. Kasparov AA. Modern aspects of the treatment of herpes virus keratitis. *Clinical Ophthalmology*. 2000;(2):59–61. (In Russ.).

10. Marchi S, Trombetta CM, Gasparini R, Temperton N, Montomoli E. Epidemiology of herpes simplex virus type 1 and 2 in Italy: a seroprevalence study from 2000 to 2014. *J Prev Med Hyg.* 2017;58(1):E27–E33. PMID: 28515628

11. Gruffat H, Marchione R, Manet E. Herpesvirus Late GeneExpression: A viral-specific pre-initiation complex is key. FrontMicrobiol.2016;7:869.PMID:27375590http://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00869

12. Kaneko H, Higaki S, Fukuda M, Shimomura Y, Ishioka K, Fukushima E, et al. The quantitative detection of herpes simplex virus,

varicella zoster virus, and cytomegalovirus DNAs in recipient corneal buttons. *Cornea*. 2010;29:1436–1439. PMID: 20847665 http://doi.org/10.1097/ICO.0b013e3181d3d69d

13. Grüter W. Experimental and clinical studies on so-called corneal herpes. *Berichte über die Versammlung der Deutschen Ophthalmologischen Gesellschaft*. 1920;42:162–167.

14. Baron S. *Medical Microbiology:* 4th edition. Galveston (TX): University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston; 1996.

15. Kasparov AA. *Oftalmogerpes*. Moscow: Meditsina Publ.; 1994. (In Russ.).

16. Brown JC, Newcomb WW. Herpesvirus capsid assembly: insights from structural analysis. *Curr Opin Virol*. 2011;1(2):142–9. PMID: 21927635 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2011.06.003

17. Chernakova GM, Maychuk DYu, Klescheva EA, Slonimskiy YuB, Semenova TB. Mixed infections and inflammatory ophthalmic diseases: clinical and laboratory observations. *The Russian Annals of Ophthalmology/Vestnik oftal'mologii*. 2017;133(4):74–82. (In Russ.).

 Rowe AM, St Leger AJ, Jeon S, Dhaliwal DK, Knickelbein JE, Hendricks RL. Herpes keratitis. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2013;32:88–101.
 PMID: 22944008 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.preteyeres.2012.08.002

19. Deev LA, Yartseva NS. Zaboleva-niya rogovoy obolochki glaznogo yabloka: uchebno-metodicheskoye posobiye. Smolensk: Izd-vo SGMA Publ.; 2006. (In Russ.).

20. Akanda ZZ, Naeem A, Russell E, Belrose J, Si FF, Hodge WG. Graft rejection rate and graft failure rate of penetrating keratoplasty (PKP) vs lamellar procedures: a systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(3):e0119934. PMID: 25781319 http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119934

21. Lawlor M, Kerridge I. Anything but the eyes: culture, identity, and the selective refusal of corneal donation. *Transplantation*. 2011;92(11):1188–1190. PMID: 22011764 http://doi.org/10.1097/TP.0b013e318235c817

22. Armitage WJ, Tullo AB, Larkin DF. The first successful full-thickness corneal transplant: a commentary on Eduard Zirm's landmark paper of 1906. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2006;90(10):1222–1223. PMID: 16980643 http://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.2006.101527

23. Filatov VP, Olga Sitchevsk-a. Transplantation of the cornea. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1935;13(3):321–347 http://doi.org/10.1001/archopht.1935.00840030011001

24. Khubutiya MSh, Solonin SA, Godkov MA. The problems of providing infectious disease safety for organ and tissue donation by screening blood-borne viral infections. *Russian Journal of Transplantology and Artificial Organs*. 2016;18(1):83–90. (In Russ.). https://doi.org/10.15825/1995-1191-2016-1-83-90

25. Maychuk DYu, Maychuk YuF. Ophtalmoferon – 15 years of widespread use in the treatment and prevention of infectious eye diseases. *Infektsionnye bolezni: novosti, mneniya, obuchenie.* 2017;1(18):82–100. (In Russ.).

26. Kaye SB, Madan N, Dowd TC, Hart CA, McCarthy K, Patterson A. Ocular shedding of herpes simplex virus. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1990;74(2):114–116.PMID: 2155653http://doi.org/0.1136/bjo.74.2.114

27. Kaye SB, Lynas C, Patterson A, Risk JM, McCarthy K, Hart CA. Evidence for herpes simplex viral latency in the human cornea. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1991;75(4):195–200. PMID: 1850616 http://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.75.4.195

28. Cantin EM, Chen J, McNeill J, Willey DE, Openshaw H. Detection of herpes simplex virus DNA sequences in cornea-l transplant

recipients by polymerase chain reaction assays. *Curr Eye Res.* 1991;10S:15–21. PMID: 1650662 http://doi.org/10.3109/02713689109020353

29. Cook SD, Hill JH. Herpes simplex virus: molecular biology and the possibility of corneal latency. *Surv Ophthalmol*. 1991;36(2):140–8. PMID: 1659745 http://doi.org/10.1016/0039-6257(91)90127-2

30. Cleator GM, Klapper PE, Dennett C, Sullivan AL, Bonshek RE, Marcyniuk B, et al. Corneal donor infection by herpes simplex virus: herpes simplex virus DNA in donor corneas. *Cornea*. 1994;13(4):294–304. PMID: 7924328 http://doi.org/10.1097/00003226-199407000-00003

31. Garweg J, Böhnke M. Slow viral replication of HSV-1 is responsible for early recurrence of herpetic keratitis after corneal grafting. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 1996;234(S1):133–138. PMID: 8871164 http://doi.org/10.1007/bf02343062

32. Remeijer L, Doornenbal P, Geerards AJ, Rijneveld WA, Beekhuis WH. Newly acquired herpes simplex virus keratitis after penetrating keratoplasty. *Ophthalmology*. 1997;104(4):648–652. PMID: 9111258 http://doi.org/10.1016/s0161-6420(97)30257-7

33. Cockerham GC, Krafft AE, McLean IW. Herpes simplex virus in primary graft failure. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1997;115(5):586–589. PMID: 9152124 http://doi.org/10.1001/archopht.1997.01100150588001

34. Holbach LM, Asano N, Naumann GO. Infection of the cornealendothelium in herpes simplex keratitis. Am J Ophthalmol.1998;126(4):592–594.PMID:9780108http://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9394(98)00121-4

35. Neufeld MV, Steinemann TL, Merin LM, Stroop WG, Brown MF. Identification of a herpes simplex virus-induced dendrite in an eye-bank donor cornea. *Cornea*. 1999;18(4):489–492. PMID: 10422864 http://doi.org/10.1097/00003226-199907000-00016

36. Biswas S, Suresh P, Bonshek RE, Corbitt G, Tullo AB, Ridgway AE. Graft failure in human donor corneas due to transmission of herpes simplex virus. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2000;84(7):701–5.PMID: 10873977 http://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.84.7.701

37. Remeijer L, Maertzdorf J, Door-nenbal P, Verjans GM, Osterhaus AD. Herpes simplex virus 1 transmission through corneal transplantation. *Lancet*. 2001;357(9254):442. PMID: 11273067 http://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)04011-3

38. De Kesel RJ, Koppen C, Ieven M, Zeyen T. Primary graft failure caused by herpes simplex virus type 1. *Cornea*. 2001;20(2):187–190. PMID: 11248827 http://doi.org/10.1097/00003226-200103000-00016

39. Zheng X. Reactivation and donor-host transmission of herpes simplex virus after corneal transplantation. *Cornea*. 2002;21(7S):90–3. PMID: 12484706 http://doi.org/10.1097/01.ico.0000263126.76392.cf.

40. Tullo A. Pathogenesis and management of herpes simplex virus keratitis. *Eye (Lond)*. 2003;17(8):919–922. PMID: 14631397 http://doi.org/10.1038/sj.eye.6700564

41. Rezende RA, Uchoa UB, Raber IM, Rapuano CJ, Laibson PR, Cohen EJ. New onset of herpes simplex virus epithelial keratitis after penetrating keratoplasty. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2004;137(3):415–419. PMID: 15013862 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2003.09.057

42. Borderie VM, Méritet JF, Chaumeil C, Rozenberg F, Baudrimont M, Touzeau O, et al. Culture-proven herpetic keratitis after penetrating keratoplasty in patients with no previous history of herpes disease. *Cornea*. 2004;23(2):118–24. PMID: 15075879 http://doi.org/10.1097/00003226-200403000-00003

43. Robert PY, Adenis JP, Pleyer U. How "safe" is corneal transplantation? A contribution on the risk of HSV-transmission due to

corneal transplantation. *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd*. 2005;222(11):870–873. PMID: 16308818 http://doi.org/10.1055/s-2005-858849

44. Robert PY, Adenis JP, Denis F, Drouet M, Ranger-Rogez S. Herpesviruses serologic survey of corneal allograft recipients. *J Fr Ophtalmol.* 2006;29(3):59–263. PMID: 16557169 http://doi.org/10.1016/s0181-5512(06)73781-0

45. Builles N, Kodjikian L, Burillon C, Damour O. Major endothelial loss from corneas in organ culture: importance of second endothelial count. *Cornea*. 2006;25(7):815–820. PMID: 17068459 http://doi.org/10.1097/01.ico.0000230253.62730.85

46. Aydemir O, Türkçüoğlu P, Bulut Y, Kalkan A. The relationship of graft survival and herpes simplex virus latency in recipient corneal buttons. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2007;1(2):127–131. PMID: 19668501

47. Gatzioufas Z, Oldak M, Schnaidt A, Smola S, Seitz B. Graft-to-host transmission of herpes simplex virus - myth or reality? *Acta Ophthalmol.* 2011;89(5):e473-4; author reply e474-5. PMID: 21756291 http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-3768.2011.02192.x

48. Kugusheva AE, Slepova OS, Gundorova RA, Demkin VV, Makarov PV, Mironkova EA, et al. O vliyanii gerpesvirusnykh infektsiy na rezul'taty prizhivleniya rogovichnogo transplantata pri keratoplastike vysokogo riska. In: *Aktual'nyye problemy oftal'mologii*. Moscow; 2012. 116–117 p. (In Russ.).

49. Gatzioufas Z, Hasenfus A, Gyongyossy B, Stavridis E, Sauter M, Smola S, et al. Repeat corneal graft failure due to graft-to-host herpetic infection. *J Ophthalmic Inflamm Infect*. 2013;3(1):24. PMID: 23514192 http://doi.org/10.1186/1869-5760-3-24

50. Kuffova L, Knickelbein JE, Yu T, Medina C, Amescua G, Rowe AM, et al. High-risk corneal graft rejection in the setting of previous corneal herpes simplex virus (HSV)-1 infection. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis*

Sci. 2016;57(4):1578–87. PMID: 27050878 http://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.15-17894

51. Agelidis AM, Shukla D. Cell entry mechanisms of HSV: what we have learned in recent years. *Future Virol*. 2015;10(10):1145–1154. PMID: 27066105 http://doi.org/10.2217/fvl.15.85

52. Akhtar J, Shukla D. Viral entry mechanisms: cellular and viral mediators of herpes simplex virus entry. *FEBS J*. 2009;276(24):7228–36. PMID: 19878306 http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2009.07402.x

53. Yu X, He S. The interplay between human herpes simplex virus infection and the apoptosis and necroptosis cell death pathways. *Virol J*. 2016;13:77. PMID: 27154074 http://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-016-0528-0

54. Kerr JF, Wyllie AH, Currie AR. Apoptosis: a basic biological phenomenon with wide-ranging implications in tissue kinetics. *Br J Cancer*. 1972;26(4):239–257. PMID: 4561027 http://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.1972.33

55. Wyllie AH. Glucocorticoid-induced thymocyte apoptosis is associated with endogenous endonuclease activation. *Nature*. 1980;284(5756):555–556. PMID: 6245367 http://doi.org/10.1038/284555a0

 56. Thornberry NA, Lazebnik Y. Caspases: enemies within. Science.

 1998;281(5381):1312–1316.
 PMID:
 9721091

 http://doi.org/10.1126/science.281.5381.1312

57. Guo H, Kaiser WJ, Mocarski ES. Manipulation of apoptosis and necroptosis signaling by herpesviruses. *Med Microbiol Immunol*. 2015;204(3):439–448. PMID: 25828583 http://doi.org/10.1007/s00430-015-0410-5

58. Holler N, Zaru R, Micheau O, Thome M, Attinger A, Valitutti S, et al. Fas triggers an alternative, caspase-8-independent cell death

pathway using the kinase RIP as effector molecule. *Nat Immunol.* 2000;1(6):489–495. PMID: 11101870 http://doi.org/10.1038/82732

59. Chawla-Sarkar M, Lindner DJ, Liu YF, Williams BR, Sen GC, Silverman RH, et al. Apoptosis and interfe-rons: role of interferon-stimulated genes as mediators of apoptosis. *Apoptosis*. 2003;8(3):237–249. PMID: 12766484 http://doi.org/10.1023/a:1023668705040

60. Robinson N, McComb S, Mulligan R, Dudani R, Krishnan L, Sad S. Type I interferon induces necroptosis in macrophages during infection with Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. *Nat Immunol.* 2012;13(10):954–962. PMID: 22922364 http://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2397

61. Haller O. A tribute to Jean Lindenmann, co-discoverer of interferon (1924–2015). *Cytokine*. 2015;76(1):113–115. PMID: 25937629 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2015.02.029

62. Nallar SC, Kalvakolanu DV. Interfe-rons, Signal Transduction Pathways, and the Central Nervous System. *J of Interferon Cytokine Res.* 2014;34(8):559–576. PMID: 25084173 http://doi.org/10.1089/jir.2014.0021

63. Gonzalez-Navajas JM, Lee J, David M, Raz E. Immunomodulatory functions of type I interferons. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2012;12(2):125–135. PMID: 22222875 http://doi.org/10.1038/nri3133

64. Ivashkiv LB, Donlin LT. Regulation of type I interferon responses. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2014;14(1):36–49. PMID: 24362405 http://doi.org/10.1038/nri3581

65. Abrams ME, Balish MJ, Brandt CR. IFN-alpha induces MxA gene expression in cultured human corneal fibroblasts. *Exp Eye Res.* 1995;60(2):137–42. PMID: 7540146 http://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-4835(95)80003-4

66. Kalyuzhin OV. Tilorone as a chosen preparation for prevention and treatment of acute respiratory viral infections. *Lechaschii Vrach Journal*. 2013;(10):43–48. (In Russ.).

67. Karsonova AV. Sistema interferonov I tipa i NK-kletok pri chasto retsidiviruyushchem prostom gerpese: Cand. med. sci. diss. Synopsis. March 14, 2009. Moscow: I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University; 2014. 26 p. (In Russ.).

68. Lin FC, Young HA. Interferons: Success in anti-viral immunotherapy. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2014;25(4):369–76. PMID: 25156421 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2014.07.015

69. Boasso A. Type I Interferon at the interface of antiviral immunity and immune regulation: the curious case of HIV-1. *Scientifica*. 2013;2013:580968.PMID: 24455433http://doi.org/10.1155/2013/580968

70. Stetson DB, Medzhitov R. Type I interferons in host defense.Immunity.2006;25(3):373–381.PMID:16979569http://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2006.08.007

71. Kimberlin DW, Whitley RJ. Antiviral therapy of HSV-1 and -2.CambridgeUniversityPress;2007:1153–1174.http://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511545313.065

72. Sainz B, Halford WP. Alpha/Beta interferon and gamma interferon synergize to inhibit the replication of herpes simplex virus type 1. *J Virol.* 2002;76(22):11541–50. PMID: 12388715 http://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.76.22.11541-11550.2002

73. Choong K, Walker NJ, Apel AJ, Whitby M. Aciclovir-resistant herpes keratitis. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2010;38(3):309–313. PMID: 20447128 http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9071.2010.02209.x

74. Toriyama K, Inoue T, Suzuki T, Kobayashi T, Ohashi Y. Necrotizing keratitis caused by acyclovir-resist ant herpes simplex virus.

Case Rep Ophthalmol. 2014;5(3):325–328. PMID: 25473399 http://doi.org/10.1159/000368297

75. Taylor JL, Casey MS, O'Brien WJ. Synergistic antiherpes virus activity of acyclovir and interferon in human corneal stromal cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 1989 Mar;30(3):365–70. PMID: 2466806

76. Wilhelmus KR. The treatment of herpes simplex virus epithelial keratitis. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.* 2000;98:505–532. PMID: 11190039

77. Wilhelmus KR. Antiviral treatment and other therapeutic interventions for herpes simplex virus epithelial keratitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2010;12:CD002898. PMID: 21154352 http://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD002898.pub5

78. Sainz B Jr, Halford WP. Alpha/Beta interferon and gamma interferon synergize to inhibit the replication of herpes simplex virus type 1. *J Virol.* 2002;76(22):11541–11550. PMID: 12388715 http://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.76.22.11541-11550.2002

79. Nguyen NX, Seitz B, Martus P, Langenbucher A, Cursiefen C. Long-term topical steroid treatment improves graft survival following normal-risk penet-rating keratoplasty. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2007;144(2):318–9. PMID: 17659972 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2007.03.028

80. Borzenok SA, Kerimov TZ. Antiviral decontamination of transplants of donor corneas at the conservation stage. Tretiy rossiyskiy natsional'nyy kongress s mezhdunarodnym uchastiyem "Transplantatsiya i donorstvo organov", 2–4 oktyabrya 2017 g. *Russian Journal of Transplantology and Artificial Organs*. 2017;19(3):221. (In Russ.).

81. Kerimov TZ, Borzenok SA, Gavrilova NA, Tonaeva KhD. Herpesvirus infection in cornea graft: current approaches to therapy and viral decontamination during storage. *Practical medicine*. 2018;3(114):89–92. (In Russ.).

Information about authors

Sergey A. Borzenok, Dr. Med. Sci., Acad. of RANS, Professor of the Eye Disease Department, A.I. Yevdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry, Head of the Center for Fundamental and Applied Biomedical Problems of S.N. Fedorov Eye Microsurgery Federal State Institution, http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9160-6240

Timur Z. Kerimov, Postgraduate of the Eye Disease Department, A.I. Yevdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8967-6370

Natalya A. Gavrilova, Prof., Dr. Med. Sci., Head of the Eye Disease Department, A.I. Yevdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry, http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0368-296X

Yuriy Yu. Kalinnikov, Dr. Med. Sci., Professor of the Eye Disease Department, A.I. Yevdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry,

Madina Kh. Khubetsova, Cand. Med. Sci., Head of the Eye Tissue Bank, S.N. Fedorov Eye Microsurgery Federal State Institution, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6378-8750

Aleksandra A. Zheltonozhko, Ophthalmologist of the Eye Tissue Bank, S.N. Fedorov Eye Microsurgery Federal State Institution, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2330-8564

> Received: December 18, 2019 Accepted for publication: March 24, 2020