**Reviewer questionnaire**

Much-esteemed \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The editorial board of the journal "Transplantologiya. The Russian Journal of Transplantation" asks You to prepare a review of the article \_\_\_\_\_\_ according to the attached form.

The publication adheres to double blind peer review.

Review period –\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Answer options | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | Does the article correspond to the main scientific and practical directions of the journal? | yes | | | | no | | | not completely | | | | | | |
| ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | | | | |
|  | Does the structure of the article correspond to the requirements of the journal? | yes | | | | no | | | not completely, revision is possible | | | | | | |
| ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | | | | |
|  | Are the sections balanced enough, need to be shortened or supplemented? | yes | | | | no | | | revision is required | | | | | | |
| ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | | | | |
|  | Are the ethical requirements of the journal followed? | yes | | | | no | | | not completely | | | | | | |
| ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | | | | |
|  | The degree of relevance of the tasks solved by the author? | high | | | | low | | | average | | | | | | |
| ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | | | | |
|  | Research novelty: | for the first time in the world | | | | for the first time in the Russian Federation | | | repetition of the study in order to clarify or compare previously obtained results | | | | | | |
| ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | | | | |
|  | Scope of scientific results: | fundamental | | | | fundamental applied | | | applied | | | | | | |
| ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | | | | |
|  | Formulation of the goal and objectives: | accurately formulated | | | | absent | | | require correction | | | | | | |
| ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | | | | |
|  | Conformity of the conclusions to the tasks: | correspond | | | | do not correspond | | | require correction | | | | | | |
| ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | | | | |
|  | The reliability and adequacy of the methods, methodological approaches used by the authors of the study, including statistical processing: | complete | | | | their absence | | | incomplete, revision required | | | | | | |
| ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | | | | |
|  | Depth, geography and completeness of cited literary sources: | sufficient | | | | no list | | | insufficient, improvement needs | | | | | | |
| ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | | | | |
|  | Objectivity, validity and reliability of the scientific results obtained: | high degree | | | | low degree | | | Medium or needs some work | | | | | | |
| ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | | | | |
|  | The level of implementation of research results: | in the country | | in several regions | | | | institutions on the basis of which the study was carried out | | | obtaining a patent, filing an application for an invention | | | publication of orders, guidelines and other regulatory documents | |
| ❑ | | ❑ | | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | | ❑ | |
|  | Type of article in accordance with the rubrication of the journal | Actual issues of clinical transplantology | Problematic aspects | | Review articles and lectures | | Casel reports | | | History of medicine | | Expert opinion | Experience in practical transplantology | | Other, suggest a name |
| ❑ | ❑ | | ❑ | | ❑ | | | ❑ | | ❑ | ❑ | | ❑ |

Final conclusion:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposals for the elimination and correction of factual errors and fundamental remarks regarding paragraphs 2-5, 8-12 (if any): |  | | | |
| Suggestions to the authors for further research concerning paragraphs 1-13 (at the discretion of the reviewer): |  | | | |
| Reviewer's conclusion on the possibility of publishing an article in the journal | can be published unchanged | can be published after the elimination of minor defects | deep processing of the material and re-review is required | cannot be published with a brief explanation of the reasons |
| ❑ | ❑ | ❑ | ❑ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Declaration of Conflict of Interest: |  | | | |

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Review date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_